

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/000,340	NISHIMINE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Ngoc-Yen M. Nguyen	1754	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Ngoc-Yen M. Nguyen.

(2) Eugene Perez.

**Date of Interview:** 22 March 2005

**Type of Interview:**  
☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**  
 Rejection(s) discussed:  
  
 Claims discussed:  
  
 Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**  
 SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
*Examiner's amendment was proposed to put case in allowable condition. Authorization was given by Mr. Perez.*

**Part III.**  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)